USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 3/26/2020

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-V-

EDWIN RAMIRO BATEN ROJAS, : individually and on behalf of others similarly : situated, : Plaintiff, :

: <u>ORDER</u>

19-CV-10051 (JLC)

PEARL DINER, INC. (D/B/A PEARL DINER), : JAMES COULIANIDIS, EMANUEL : COULIANIDIS, ALEXANDER ALMONTE, and : RUBEN DOE, :

Defendants. :

JAMES L. COTT, United States Magistrate Judge.

WHEREAS, the parties reported that they have reached a settlement in principle by letter dated March 9, 2020 (Dkt. No. 26); and

WHEREAS, the parties have now consented to the undersigned's jurisdiction over this matter for all purposes under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c);

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties are directed to file a joint letter motion along with their settlement agreement (and a stipulation of discontinuance) **no later than April 27**, **2020** to request court approval. The letter motion should explain why the proposed settlement is fair and reasonable and otherwise comply with the Second Circuit's decision in *Cheeks v*. *Freeport Pancake House, Inc.*, 796 F.3d 199 (2d Cir. 2015). The parties are directed to this Court's rulings in *Cruz v. Relay Delivery, Inc.*, 17-CV-7475 (JLC), 2018 WL 4203720 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 4, 2018) (no reemployment provision impermissible and provision related to communication with media should not be overly restrictive); *Rivera v. Relay Delivery, Inc.*, 17-CV-5012 (JLC), 2018 WL 1989618 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 26, 2018) (release that was broader and thus more favorable to defendants than plaintiff's narrower release was impermissible): *Howard v*.

Don Coleman Advertising, Inc., 16-CV-5060 (JLC), 2017 WL 773695 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 28, 2017)

(any mutual non-disparagement provision must include carve-out for truthfulness); and Souza v.

65 St. Marks Bistro, 15-CV-327 (JLC), 2015 WL 7271747 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 6, 2015) (regarding

impermissible confidentiality provisions and the proper scope of mutual general releases), for

further guidance as to permissible and impermissible terms.

For recent settlement papers that the Court has approved, the parties are directed to the

following cases, as examples: Rodriguez v. Emenike, No. 18-CV-5786 (Dkt. Nos. 36, 38

(settlement agreement); Dkt. No. 37 (court approval order)); Yahuiti v. L Ray LLC, No. 19-CV-

1114 (Dkt. No. 24 (settlement agreement); Dkt. No. 25 (court approval order)); De Luna

Hernandez v. City Catering, No. 18-CV-3919 (Dkt. No. 49 (settlement agreement); Dkt. No. 50

(court approval order)); and Sanchez v. New York Kimchi Catering Corp., No. 16-7784 (Dkt. No.

98 (settlement agreement) and Dkt. No. 99 (court approval order).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 26, 2020

New York, New York

United States Magistrate Judge

2